

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTSP.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/661,823 09/12/2003 Ralph V. Clayman BSC-067C2 7353 22903 7590 08/11/2004 **EXAMINER COOLEY GODWARD LLP** WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE ATTN: PATENT GROUP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700 ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER 3763 RESTON, VA 20190-5061 DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>À</b>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/661,823	CLAYMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Catherine S. Williams	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	Total Communication   Total Communication		
	EDLVIC SET TO EVOIDE 4 MC	MITU(S) EDOM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory property of the province of the pro	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		<b>\</b>	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 May 2004.		
,—			
• -			
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		• 1	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-70</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 41-70 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> </ul>		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
•			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-70</u> are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 1.			
•			
•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docur</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docur</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But</li> </ul>	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/661,823

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 41-56, drawn to a medical device, classified in class 604, subclass 8.
- II. Claims 57-61,63-65, drawn to a method of manufacture, classified in class 264, subclass 176.1.
- III. Claims 62,66-70, drawn to method of using, classified in class 604, subclass 544.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another process such as an extrusion process.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used as a shunting device in other regions of the body including the eye and ear.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Application/Control Number: 10/661,823

Art Unit: 3763

inventions are not capable of being used together since one method teaches manufacturing the device that is used by the other method. Additionally, each method results in different effects that being one method results in a product and the other in a treatment to the human body.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Regarding the device of Group I, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

a) Figs. 1-3,

f) Figs. 5A-5B, 6C,

b) Figs. 4A-4B,

g) Figs. 5A-5B, 6D,

c) Figs. 5A-5B,

h) Figs. 7,7A,8,8A,8B, and

d) Figs. 5A-5B, 6A,

i) Fig. 9.

e) Figs. 5A-5B, 6B,

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,823

Art Unit: 3763

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/661,823 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 703-308-4846.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams Usw. July 29, 2004

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700